UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,463	12/04/2006	Alfred Siggel	H26856	9362
	7590 04/16/200 INTERNATIONAL I	EXAMINER		
101 COLUMBIA ROAD P O BOX 2245 MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962-2245			KOSLOW, CAROL M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/533,463	SIGGEL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
C. Melissa Koslow	1793		

	J. Melissa Koslow	1793	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLI	CATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appea for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:	ne same day as filing a Notice of A plies: (1) an amendment, affidavit I (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d	late of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the should be shown in the control of the shown in the control of the shown in the control of the control o	nsion and the corresponding amount of the corresponding am	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliant filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	ion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, bu (a) They raise new issues that would require further cons (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	ideration and/or search (see NOT);	E below);	
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a co 			ie issues ioi
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed.		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1 and 3-8. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 9.11 and 12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and swas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but of the arguments are not convincing since applicant's own specific well as a composition where the is salt dissolved in a solve also be solid or molten salts. Thus this definitin does not of that the composition must contain the solvents of claim 1 state production of the composition, which means that the second of the composition is maintained.	pecification uses the term "electrol ent. It is noted that the definition in vercome the rejection. In addition since the specification teaches the olvents in claim 1 are not a requirement.	yte' to refer to both the n wikipedia states that n there is no requirtem at a drying step can be	e salt itself as electolyte can ent in claim 9 e utilized during
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (P²13. ☐ Other:	TO/SD/UO) Papel NU(S).		

Application No.

/C. Melissa Koslow/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090414